## REMARKS

The Examiner has rejected claims 18, 19, 22 and 23 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph as indefinite due to the construction "G<sub>0.1,2</sub>". The Examiner assumed that it means the number of G moieties attached to the AR moiety, zero, one or two. This is correct, as the construction is meant to follow the same designation as the subscript 2 in -CH<sub>2</sub>- meaning that there are two hydrogen atoms present. (Superscripts are meant to designate individual atoms or groups.) Applicants request the Examiner to remove the rejection on these grounds.

The Examiner has rejected Claim 18 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Beilstein Registry #7031474 and #7917391. Beilstein Registry #7031474 has the structure:

Beilstein Registry #7917391 has the structure

$$\sim$$

As amended, Claim 18 is not anticipated by either of these registry structures.

The Examiner has rejected Claim 19 as being anticipated by Beilstein Registry # 2010758 and #2171800. Beilstein Registry # 2010758 has the structure

## Beilstein Registry #2171800 has the structure

Neither of these two structures has the Q moiety present in the structures of applicant's claims.

Claim 22 has been amended.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for indicating allowability of claims 20, 21 and 24 to 28. With the current amendment, applicant urges the Examiner to the conclusion that all claims now pending are in condition for allowance.

End of Remarks